

Answers to the queries of the STIFIMO Mid-Term Evaluation

1. Question: According to the terms of reference, an annual audit of the STIFIMO activities will be carried out by Deloitte Mozambique. Does this prevent the consulting group of Deloitte (Finland and Portugal) to bid for the mid-term evaluation project?

Answer: Carrying out of an annual audit is not considered as participation in the implementation of the programme.

2. Question: The TOR specifies 2 different presentations to be carried out:

- a. Presentation of the Field Finding both to the Embassy, Maputo, and to the MFA, Helsinki – which is clear.
- b. A Power point supported presentation of the evaluation results – however, it is not specified whether this is expected only to address MFA, Helsinki, or also involves a visit to the Embassy, Maputo.

Answer: A Power point supported presentation of the evaluation results does not involve a visit to the Embassy, Maputo. For clarification, both the field findings and the evaluation findings should be presented to both of the MFA Finland and the Embassy, Maputo. However, these presentations can be done through a video link instead of a visit.

3. Question: The price tender (Annex B) is to be presented as one lump sum composed of 2 parts:

A: The Price of services by the experts and the company (the junior staff not be included as regards fees).

B: The reimbursable expenses/costs, which do not contain a line for per diem.

It is not clear whether the per diem for the experts travelling to Helsinki and Maputo should be included under A or B. If under A should per diem be itemized by separate line for each expert, or should the fee rate per day include an average value to allow for per diem for each expert; please clarify? If B then separate lines will be added for per diem I assume.

Answer: Per diem should be included under B, adding lines for this purpose.